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Q: You were in Belize from when?
BARMON: From 1967 to 1969 when it was still a British colony.

Q: When you go there, can you describe what it was like?
BARMON: As the then post report said, it reminded one of a town along the Mississippi River, or the Mississippi Delta back in the depression days. It was very poor, tin, ramshackle shacks sewers. Pretty awful. There is a book out by the vice consul that served there in the early 1960s (Richard Conroy). If you are doing an oral history on Belize, you definitely want to get him to talk about his experiences. Of course, they are all in the book.

Q: What did we have there a consulate general?
BARMON: Consulate. Three officers which I thought at the time was one too many.

Q: What did you do?
BARMON: I was the number three in charge of the Consular Section. I had three ladies of Belize as my staff. I also did some political reporting the first year and did all the cultural work. Then, my second year, they brought in somebody else to do the consular work, a staff officer who was trained in consular work. I did administrative work and kept my political reporting and USIS jobs. Every area except that which I specialized in later, which was economics.

Q: What were American interests there?

BARMON: Almost none. There was a concern about the Cuban communist influence as well as Guatemala’s interest in taking over British Honduras. They were concerned about that igniting some kind of little war in the area. Not much of a drug problem back then, a little bit of Marijuana growing up in the North. There really was not a smuggling conduit at that point that they knew of. There were some odd characters, a couple of Americans doing artifact smuggling, robbing the Mayan temples. A little bit of agriculture exported through to the U.S., sugar cane, citrus, fish products. But, we did not have a lot of interests.

Q: What about relations with the British there?

BARMON: Relations were very good. The British governor and his assistant. The British Garrison, headed up by a colonel. Some British civil servant types who ran some of the ministries. Or, if they did not run the ministries, they were advisors to the ministries in agriculture, education, etc., left over from the colonial days.

Q: It still was a colony?

BARMON: Until the early 1980s.

Q: Were things sort of in line to give them independence?

BARMON: Well, it was moving along slowly, but the biggest fear the Belizeans had themselves and the British had, of course, was that the Guatemalans might invade if the British pulled out.

Q: So there was no real push for independence?

BARMON: No. They became self-governing in the early to mid-1960s, I believe. The British were there to run foreign affairs, defense, and advise them in other areas. Basically, they were self-governing.

Q: How much of a threat was Guatemala?

BARMON: In fact, not much of a threat. I do not think that the Guatemalans were prepared to take on the Brits. But, there was a lot of hype in the media and people professed to be afraid. The Mexicans, of course, wanted their piece of Belize if the Guatemalans came in. There was some scare tactics by the Guatemalans, but in fact, it was not a real threat.
Q: You said you were doing political work?

BARMON: I reported on the opposition party, the “NIPS,” the National Independent Party. They did not get into power until the late ’70s, early ’80s. So, the People’s United Party, the “PUPS,” were in power for 20 years, until they were replaced by the NIPS.

Q: What was your impression of the political party’s leadership?

BARMON: Oh, it was pretty amateurish. The premier, George Price, was an ex-Jesuit student in the States and had received the “word of God” in a vision to go back and lead his people. So, he left the seminary and came back and was in fact, a couple years later elected Premier. He was Premier for 20 years until the opposition leader replaced him. Then, he won another term after that. So, he was Prime Minister during some of the 80s.

Q: Was there much social life there at that time?

BARMON: Well, there were not terribly many college graduates among the Belizeans. They were very nice people. We had some friends among the younger lawyers, and a few professionals, but a small group.

Q: Were the Cubans mucking around at all?

BARMON: No, but there was always the fear that they might muck around in Yucatan, just to the north. But, in fact, no.

Q: Around this time did we put troops in the Dominican Republic?

BARMON: I think that was 1965.

Q: So, that did not have any repercussion?

BARMON: Not that I am aware of. I did not get to Belize until September of 1967. But there was some concern about Cuban influence in that part of the Caribbean. As far as I am aware of there wasn’t.

Q: Did you get any feel from the British there that they wished, “Hell, let the Guatemalans take it over?”

BARMON: The British would have liked to have left. They were spending 10 million pounds a year with their aid program and military garrison. I think those expenses were on top of 10 million that they gave to subsidize the colony. They would have gotten out earlier, but they felt constrained. They did not want to seem to be abandoning the colony with the perils of a Latino country invading a non-Latino country.

Q: After this rather sterile experience.... (Barmon interjects)
BARMON: It wasn’t sterile at all. We thoroughly enjoyed it. It was a fascinating experience.

Q: In what way?

BARMON: Well, for one thing it was very useful for me my first tour doing all these different jobs. There were very few Americans. We really got to know the local society, the Creoles, the Caribbeans, a few Latinos, the Brits, and some wonderful characters. They ended up in Belize for some odd reasons. Some were jaguar hunters, some were leftovers from the war. There were Jewish refugees, Arabs, Chinese, a couple of Germans that ended up there after the war was over. A truly interesting collection of people.
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Q: You were in Belize from when to when?

SMITH: ’68 to ’70.

Q: I've read this book - what is it, Our Man in Belize?

SMITH: I haven't read it.

Q: Well, there's a book about when the hurricane hit. When did the hurricane hit?

SMITH: ’61.

Q: ’61, so this is before. You have to check on that. It's an interesting book. What was Belize like in ’68.

SMITH: It was still a British colony. There was a British garrison out near the airport but not visibly present in the city. It was very much in the British tradition, in the sense that policemen didn't carry guns. It was very peaceful. The governor wore a hat that looked very colonial, with plumes and everything. The economy was very open, as was usually the case in the colonies, but it was de facto much more tied to the U.S. than to the UK. The Belizean dollar was then, as it is now, I believe, pegged to the U.S. dollar. The major exports were sugar, orange juice, some vegetables. As far as Belize was concerned, their share of the sugar quota of the United States and whether there was a freeze in Florida or not were major economic issues. It was poor but
quite open and democratic. There were regular elections. The government changed. I'm not sure whether it changed while I was there, but the prime minister, who was prime minister several times later, used to drive himself around in an old Land Rover. Everybody knew him, driving around in an old Land Rover. The major issue was Guatemala - the Guatemalan claim - and how that would be resolved. And we were involved in negotiations. I must admit I don't remember the details of the negotiations any more, but we had produced a proposal which had been rejected, and the opposition was strongly against any deal with Guatemala. We'd often have demonstrations, "We don't want no Guatemala."

Q: Did Guatemala claim a part of Belize or all of it?

SMITH: That was the problem. Guatemala claimed the entirety of Belize.

Q: That's a little difficult to negotiate.

SMITH: It's one of those cases where the very existence was threatened by the claim, not a sliver but the whole country. And it dated back to the previous century and the history of British colonization of that coast and the agreements with Guatemala at the time. But in fact, the country had become very British. It was slightly less than 50 percent of Indian or Hispanic origin people and slightly less than 50 percent of blacks who'd come in from the West Indies, and a small percentage of Chinese, Portuguese, English. But very balanced racially, very mixed racially. And a fascinating place to be because, I used to say, it's the only place where there is no difference between macroeconomics and microeconomics, and you could almost look at the balance of payments or balance of trade and track individual transactions because everything was so small.

Q: Who was the consul general there?

SMITH: Bob Tepper was the consul general.

Q: And what was your job - well, you were number two.

SMITH: I was number two, but I was the economic officer and in charge when Bob Tepper wasn't there.

Q: Did America have any interest other than just not wanting to have unrest in the area?

SMITH: We certainly had an interest in the resolution of the dispute with Guatemala, this being our back yard, and we had an interest in that being resolved peacefully. We were not, however, in a position, I would say, that we were strongly... We were supporting a resolution of the dispute; we were not out-and-out supporting Belizean independence.

Q: What about Guatemala? Did our consulate general have much relation with our embassy in Guatemala?

SMITH: We used to go there periodically. In fact, we took the pouch by plane to Guatemala and picked one up and brought it back, which gave us a reason to make that trip regularly. Guatemala
was a very different place. You could hear machine gun fire at night. Our ambassador was later assassinated there, as I recall. When we had visitors from Guatemala, we would take them down to a street corner political rally to remind them what democracy was like. The few AID programs that we had in Belize were being run out of the regional office in Guatemala, which was another reason for our going there and people coming over.

Q: What about the British? Were the British committed to the independence of Belize?

SMITH: They were committed to the protection of Belize. They weren't committed to pushing ahead with independence blindly. They very much wanted a negotiated solution themselves, because if they pushed ahead blindly, then they had to protect Belize, and they weren't sure that they wanted to protect Belize that completely, although they did show an interest in the readiness of the airplanes of the Guatemalan Air Force.

Q: As far as Guatemala was concerned, it seems like Guatemala, more than the other Central American governments or societies, is in a way more prone to violence. It sounds like Belize is relatively quiet and the Guatemalans use their revolvers and machetes.

SMITH: That was our impression at the time. Subsequently, things have changed a bit, in the sense that there is some almost gang warfare in Belize City now. So some of the violence that later came to affect U.S. cities affected Belize also, but at that time, certainly, Belize was very peaceful. While we were there, we had one robbery. Our trash cans were stolen. And it was some kids, we were pretty sure, and we asked around and found out who they were and sort of left a message at the home of one of them that if the trash cans weren't back by noon we were going to report this to the police; and the trash cans were returned. The place was extremely peaceful when we were there.

Q: When was the "Soccer War?"

SMITH: The Soccer War happened while we were in Belize.

Q: This was between Guatemala and Honduras.

SMITH: That's right, and we had very little impact in Belize. Both sides were concerned that somehow there might be something going on in Belize supporting their opponent. I can remember in the middle of the war a DC-3 from the Salvadoran Air Force arrived, and the Honduran consul went, "Ah," to the governor and said, "You must impound that plane. It might have been bombing." Although it was clearly a cargo plane. And the governor, I always felt, was sort of uncertain about what to do, but he got his police chief and asked the police chief to go see if the pilot would let them have a look in the plane. And that's what the police chief did. By that time the plane had been on the ground for several hours, so when the police chief looked at it, it had already been loaded with a full load of Scotch Whiskey. So the Salvadoran Air Force, in the middle of the Soccer War, was running whiskey. Ballantyne's, or Scotch, was then $18 a case. You can see why they were coming to Belize to buy Scotch.
Q: The Belize government had a prime minister and all. Did you find that except for the defense element it was a pretty independent operation, or were the British still pulling all the strings?

SMITH: No, we found it quite independent. The area that the British had control of, of course, was foreign affairs and the negotiation with Guatemala, where they were the prime negotiator but were not going ahead without the agreement of the Belizeans. There was a British advisor in the police department, as I recall, who was from the intelligence side, but the level of British influence was certainly not oppressive, and the prime minister was basically in charge of things at home.

Q: How was life there?

SMITH: It was isolated. It was quiet. The consulate had a Boston Whaler. I'm not sure whether it was justified on the basis of recreation or justified on the basis of evacuation, officially to Washington, but it certainly served the recreation purposes, because the U.S. government paid for the boat, and the consulate staff could use it, go out. In a 45-minute run you'd be out on a little spit of sand on the reef and some of the best skin-diving in the world.

Q: Yes, it still is Lumber - was that a big industry still?

SMITH: Lumber was the reason for the establishment of the British presence in that part of the coast but it had become much less of an industry by the time we were there. Again, sugar - there was a big British sugar mill - citrus, some vegetables - they were beginning to grow vegetables for the U.S. market. There was an expatriate community. There were three social clubs. The one which was predominantly British, which was dead. There was a Latino one, which was quite lively, and there was one whose backbone, I would say, was black civil servants, which was also very interesting but in a different way. It tended to focus more on cricket and things like that.

Q: Did the Caribbean weigh in? I'm thinking of Jamaica, particularly, or what about Mexico?

SMITH: Mexico was influential. There was a considerable smuggling trade from Belize to Mexico. If you looked at their trade statistics, you could only understand them if you knew that all of that champagne that was being imported was in fact being smuggled out to Mexico, and other things like that. The Mexicans had taken a position on the Guatemalan dispute that was interesting. Mexico also claimed part of Belize. They didn't claim the whole country, and their position was that if the Belizeans and Guatemalans resolved their claims, the Mexicans would withdraw theirs, which was a position that certainly gave them a lot of credit with the Belizeans.

Q: Yes, I would think. I mean, this gave them somebody behind them. How did you all feel? Did you feel that this Guatemalan claim was something you really wanted to do, or was this just sort of a local election ploy?

SMITH: We felt that the Guatemalans had made a large issue out of it. They were printing stamps, Belize was theirs. It was certainly an issue, or seem from our side to be an issue in Guatemala. On the other hand, it was clear to us that the Belizeans - certainly the Anglophone ones, but most of the non-Anglophone ones as well - didn't want much to do with Guatemala.
Q: Well, Guatemala was also not a very impressive place.

SMITH: Well, from our personal standpoint that was something else. Here we had a country that was really a democracy and that, by developing country standards, an impressive democracy. And if you look at the statistics, you'll see that elections in Belize are won by 15 votes and that the government has regularly changed from one side to the other. It is a democratic place, and it goes down; it isn't just an elite.

Q: Well, did you feel there was much interest in what you were doing in Washington?

SMITH: Not too much.

I was talking about democracy in Belize and how it really was a democracy, and you asked whether there was much interest in Washington. There was interest in resolving the dispute, and that had engaged people in Washington, but I don’t think there was much interest beyond that. I remember some years later when Belize did become independent, I happened to be working again in UN Political Affairs and was working with our mission in New York on the statement that we would make at the time Belize became independent and became a member of the United Nations, and I had to keep reminding them how much history the United States had with Belize. After the Civil War some people had fled to Belize and established plantations there. We'd had the strong history of rum-running during Prohibition, which wasn’t something we were about to touch on. But Washington didn't see Belize as a key country in Latin America by any means then.

Q: Were there any disputed islands off Belize? Some of these places in the Caribbean... any island problems?

SMITH: The Guatemalan claim, of course, meant that all of the Belizean islands were disputed, and subsequently there has become a dispute with Guatemala over where the border is, but at that time, there wasn't a dispute because it was all or nothing as far as Guatemala was concerned. There was no dispute; there is a history of relations between Belize and the Bay Islands of Honduras. I don't think there's any claim there, but there are strong cultural ties.

Q: I think it was during this time - maybe I'm wrong - that we inserted troops into the Dominican Republic. Was it at this time, or was this a little earlier?

SMITH: I believe it was a little bit earlier.

Q: Maybe it was earlier.

SMITH: But the events of that kind had very little reverberation in Belize. Things that would go on in the English-speaking Caribbean would have some effect there, because you did have a community of Jamaicans or Trinidadians, but those were fairly small. It was a very insular place, with one big issue, which was Guatemala.
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Q: That's the way I remember Personnel too. Very very seldom was anything held overnight, any arguments held overnight.

After this interesting assignment in Personnel, what came next?

NALLE: What I really wanted was Consul General in Istanbul but good old Bob Houghton took that one out of my hands. He couldn't speak a word of Turkish but that's alright.

I went down to a crazy little place in Central America called Belize. It was a strange business. Belize of course is former British Honduras and the only remaining British colony in the Western Hemisphere. I went there as Consul General, had a staff of 4 Americans and about 8 or 9 locals. And was somewhat startled.

I was told that it was an independent reporting post which meant, as I understood it, I did not have to go through London. I could report directly to Washington. That's the sort of bureaucratic double-talk that I would have been just thrilled to ignore. But anyway, after I'd been there for a couple of months, I got a copy of the famous Kennedy letter.

Only in this case it was from President Carter, saying--as Chief of Mission in Belize, you have this, that--I was looking at it and said, "What? Chief of Mission in Belize?" Nobody ever told me about this. So I put it away, really didn't think much about it.

Here's good old Mother State at work again, about a week after that I got a cable, I think it may have been immediate, from Washington saying, "You will have received your copy of President Carter's letter." I paraphrase this, "Yes," it said, "it's true, you have the title of Chief of Mission in Belize. However, we instruct you that under no circumstances are you to use the title. Under no circumstances are you to call yourself Chief of Mission. Under no circumstances are you to advise the British or the other members of the diplomatic corps that you are Chief of Mission. You are not to use the term Chief of Mission in talking matters over with your Belizean colleagues and friends."

It just went on and on and on. I thought, what the hell is this all about? To this day I don't know what it's all about. It totally mystifies me.

Q: Obviously the White House had sent this to all posts and since Belize was a semi-independent post, someone just sent it.
NALLE: Well, why did they send me the original letter from Carter?

Q: *That's what I mean.*

NALLE: I think it's some legal connection with the fact that it's an independent or reporting post.

Q: *Yes, I would think so.*

NALLE: I was in the same category as Hong Kong.

Q: *There may be one or two others but I'm not sure.*

NALLE: Maybe one or two others but that was it.

So anyway, the reason I went to Belize, by this time I was getting old and promotions were fewer and fewer. The bird watching was good and above all in the post report inventory, I noticed the listing of a 21 foot Boston Whaler with 2-75 horsepower outboard motors on it, for use by the Consul General in the performance of his official duties. And also used by the Consul General and his wife every Saturday and Sunday to go skin diving out on the reef or to go bird watching before they do anything else.

We also at that time in Washington, had a little 20 foot Swedish built diesel powered motor sailer which I was able to ship down to Belize at very modest cost. Which we had there for the almost 3 years I spent. And we'd go off on vacation and leave instead of going off to Guatemala or going to Salvador. We'd get on the boat and go to sea for a week, up or down the barrier reef, which next to the reef in Australia, is the second longest cargo barrier reef in the world. The scuba diving and the snorkeling are just unbelievable.

Belize was a very interesting post. It is, was the last British colony in the western hemisphere. It was ruled by a "Governor and a Commander-in-Chief." A very nice old gentleman formerly of the colonial office. Who'd been born in Kenya, raised in Kenya, spoke Swahili and Kikuyu very fluently. An irascible Scott, we got along quite well together, Peter MacIntee.

The problem was everybody wanted Belize to be independent except Guatemala. Guatemala laid claim to the entire territory of Belize based on a treaty that had been drawn up by the then Central American state, empire I think they called it, existing in 1840 or 1835, around there. The treaty was very badly worded. I used to point out to junior officers, my staff, what would happen if you couldn't write well. You could start a war.

So based on their misunderstanding of this treaty, the Guatemalans claimed all of Belize. The question of the resolution of this problem was the so-called Belize Resolution in the UN. Every year the UN would vote that Belize should be independent. Every year the Guatemalans and a few other South Americans supporting their brothers in Guatemala City, would vote, no. For many years the U.S. vetoed the resolution.

Q: *Excuse me, you said the U.S. vetoed, would it not be the British vetoed?*
NALLE: No, the British wanted to get rid of Belize as fast as they could. They'd get down on their hands and knees and beg us to vote for the Belize Resolution. It had complete internal self-government.

Q: *And so we had to do it.*

NALLE: Because we liked the fascist military thugs that were ruling Guatemala. Even today I become angry with our military program piling money into Guatemala with those butchers that were ruining that country. The conservative elements in the US government said that we can't turn loose this good anti-communist friend of ours. And so for years we either in some cases vetoed, in some cases we merely abstained from voting, for the Belize Resolution at the UN.

Q: *The Belize Resolution would not have given the territory to Guatemala would it?*

NALLE: No. It would have just said that Belize would become independent.

Q: *Then the Guatemalans would assume that they could swallow it up.*

NALLE: The Guatemalans would assume that they could swallow it up or at least this is what some thought. I was never convinced that the Guatemalans were going to invade. And besides the British agreed to keep their troops there. The British had 2400 troops. Part of the agreement was the British could keep using Belize as a jungle warfare training center because they could no longer use Sarawak or Borneo or any of those places. The only place in the world they had for jungle warfare training. And they'd rotate great regiments, the Black Watch, the Queen's Own Foot, and others for 6 months training exercises. And they would keep them there after independence. And they had harrier jets just to fight off the hateful Guats.

I mean, it struck me as the United States government and the State Department at its worst. Because these butchers in Guatemala city were "anti-communists." There was some thought that George Price might be little bit on the left-side himself.

Q: *I think there was.*

NALLE: George Price was gay. That's the only problem with poor old George Price. He used to go out to Miami and cruise from time to time. But everybody overlooked that, that was his business not ours.

I worked so hard along with Jim Cheek who then was Deputy Assistant in NRA. And John Blacken was Director of ARACEN. The 3 of us worked desperately to get us to change our position on the Belize Resolution up at the UN. Finally, by God, we finally did it. In October of 1980.

Q: *It became independent in 1981.*

NALLE: That's right. Then I left 3 weeks after that.
And narcotics was the other problem. This was when I first really faced the narcotics problem. It was unbelievable: overflights, illegal aircraft. We didn't have a narcotics man in Belize. He came over from Guatemala, he came over about once a month. It was primarily marijuana but more and more there was evidence that it was becoming a transit point for cocaine from South America. And more and more it was obvious that senior members of the government, Belize government not the British, were involved in it and it was a very difficult matter. Also, the Nicaraguan situation was degenerating.

We had a plane land, I get called out to the airport. It was an American twin Beech I think it was, with 2 guys in the cockpit who didn't have pilots licenses, didn't have drivers' licenses, didn't have credit cards or passports. They had lots of cash, U.S. dollars cash. The aircraft itself had no aircraft log, it had no air maintenance log, it had no airframe log, it had no engine log. There were no tail numbers on the aircraft. There was nothing. Just these 2 guys with no identification. And an aircraft that couldn't be traced, all the numbers had been filed off.

Q: And they were American?

NALLE: Oh yeah, couldn't have been more so, straight out of Mississippi or Alabama, nice bunch of guys. Like this fellow who got shot down in Nicaragua. Do you remember?

Q: Oh yes I do.

NALLE: He was the same kind of guy.

Q: What did you do with them?

NALLE: I wanted to throw them in jail. The Belize government said, what the hell, let them go. Money probably passed hands out at the airport, I'm sure. They said they were lost.

Q: The plane was searched, I take it.

NALLE: The plane was searched, there was nothing in it. As I said, I think money passed hands. The Belizeans let them go, they said, "We've got no reason to hold them." I said, what do you mean you've got no reason to hold them? These guys are as illegal as they can be. They said, no, the plane's all right. So off they went.

About 4 days later a cable came in from San Jose. Saying that a twin Beech with new Panamanian identification numbers. Two Americans--it was obviously the same aircraft--had crashed in the mountains in Northern Costa Rica, just on the edge of the Nicaraguan border and some 500 rifles and a half a million rounds of ammunition had been discovered.

Q: A prominent press story.
NALLE: A prominent press story. The plane at that time had had a tail number painted on it in Panama. Which was untraceable. They go down along with this stuff in Costa Rica and had crashed flying north from Panama to Nicaragua. It was a crazy place.

Another time a plane landed at Belize International airport. It requested emergency landing, engine problems. Here's one of these good old Southern boys. Superb pilots, unbelievable pilots, they're so good. He was sitting there behind the wheel, a mechanic was working on his engine. The mechanic, I knew him, a U.S. citizen who was a drug smuggler himself but we never did catch him.

I think the only honest policeman in the country of Belize was on duty at the airport at the time. He came out and looked over the airplane. And back in the after section of the aircraft, were a whole lot of garbage bags very neatly wrapped up and tied with tape. And the policeman turned and said, "Hey man, what that? Give me one man." And the pilot went back and got one for him. The guy opened it up, it was very neatly baled marijuana. And the fellow said to the pilot, "Man you've got about 5000 kilos of pot in the back of your aircraft." The pilot turned around and he looked at the policeman and said, "Son of a bitch, where do you suppose that came from?"

He stayed 2 days in jail. Our consular officer, Bernie Gross, was down in the jail talking to him. And a guy, Bernie told me later, with pointy toed alligator Gucci shoes and electric blue suit came in with a briefcase full of hundred dollar bills. And Bernie was saying, "Hey, we can get vitamin pills and something for food rations." And the guy says, "Why thank you Mr. Gross, I believe my problem is being taken care of." He got up and walked out of the jail.

Q: That is just a modern style.

NALLE: It was unbelievable. The police would shake down the tourists, they'd go up to tourist and say, "Welcome to Belize, man." And would pat him in the back and in doing so they'd leave a couple of marijuana leaves. The cop would say, "Oh, what's that on your shoulder? Hey boy, you've got pot. You come on down to headquarters with me. Unless you want to take care of me right now." And the poor tourist would pull out a $5 bill and give it to him.

Q: You were there for the independence ceremony?

NALLE: No I left. I was ready to get out, I was tired and it was a hard post. The old Governor had left and a new guy came in. And he was not helpful. The old Governor had tried to get the Independence taken care of through persuasion. Also the British had a great deal of trouble in working out with Belize just what the terms of independence would be. This went back and forth.

So I was very happy to get out. I had a phone call from PER and they said that--How would you like to go as Consul General to Izmir? I said, I don't even have to talk to my wife about that, well, I'm ready. I made up my mind in 2 seconds. Sheila was tired, housing was just excruciatingly bad, just awful.
JAMES F. MACK
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Q: Today is the 12th of September 2005. Jim you are the Guatemala Desk Officer?

MACK: Yes, I was the Guatemalan /Belize Desk Officer so I covered both countries. The reason for this was that at the time there was, and I believe still is, a serious border dispute between the two countries. In fact, at one time Guatemala claimed all of Belize, which in 1979 was still a British colony. I think the Guatemalans have since reduced their claim but it is still rather substantial. Anyway that was the big issue at the time I was on the desk. The British were anxious to unburden themselves of Belize, which was one of the few remaining British colonies in the Caribbean at that point. Also, important in their thinking was the cost of maintaining defense of the colony. Because of the ever present threat of a Guatemalan incursion they had to keep a couple of thousand troops in Belize, including a unit of Harrier jump jets, which was an expensive proposition to them. At the same time, they worried, as did the elected internally self-governing Belizean government of George Price, that a grant of independence without a border settlement could provoke a Guatemalan invasion. So they were stuck.

In any event the border issue consumed a significant amount of my time as a desk officer. During this period, I worked very closely with guy named Millard Burr from the State Department Office of The Geographer. Burr came up with the proposal to guarantee Guatemala sovereign access to the Caribbean sea from their main port of Puerto Barrios. The problem was that without an agreement, while ships did enjoy physical access to Puerto Barrios in accordance with the international law of the sea, it was not the sovereign access that Guatemala felt it had to have for political reasons. So when we received word that the Guatemalan dictator might be willing to cut a deal, Burr came up with the idea of granting the Guatemalans a mile wide sovereign channel through Belizean waters to Puerto Barrios. The problem we had to solve was that smack in the middle of the proposed sovereign channel were several very small islets called the Sapodilla keys, which belonged to Belize. We knew that George Price was adamant against giving up an inch of territory, so Burr came up with the idea of granting Guatemala usufruct of the islands in perpetuity which would allow Guatemalan to claim it had won sovereign access to the sea.

Now usufruct is a word I had never heard before, but exists in international law. It means use as if it were sovereign. For Belize that meant they would retain theoretical sovereignty, but Guatemala would get to use them as if it were the sovereign owner. We though this was a
brilliant solution that would acceptable to everybody, end the dispute, allow Belize to peacefully achieve independence and win us the Nobel Peace Prize. Just kidding but we were very excited.

Unfortunately, the problem ended up not being the Guatemalan dictator president and notorious human right abuser Gen Lucas Garcia, but the democratically elected Belizian Prime Minister George Price. Price was adamant that he wasn’t going to agree to any deal that as much as implied loss of any sovereign territory even some water and a few islets. And so, Price lost the opportunity to settle the deal then and there. The British were pushing Price very hard to accept.

Q: I was wondering why we were making a deal or acting as though we were outside authority. Why weren’t the British doing this?

MACK: Oh the British were very actively involved. Lord Carrington was very, very involved in this.

Q: He was a Foreign Minister?

MACK: He was the head of the FCO, the Foreign and Commonwealth Office at the time. He was very, very active in the process and the British dearly wanted to get out. I am sure I am missing some details twenty-six years later. But that occupied a lot of time. My other important issue as desk officer was Guatemala’s horrendous human rights record under the military dictatorship, which was waging a war without quarter with Marxist guerrilla group.

Thousands of people were killed in the rural areas were the insurgency raged. In the urban areas, hundreds were gunned down by Lucas Garcia’s people working from death lists which it was my understanding he personally approved, kind of like the evil Ming the Merciless in the Buck Rogers movies. It was pretty awful. Not that we could do too much about it since the US already had cut Guatemala off from military assistance a long time before. Remember this was under the Carter Administration. But what this also meant was with no US assistance, we could not use the threat to cut it off as a lever to force greater respect for human rights, although I’m not sure that Guatemalan government would have been susceptible to pressure in any event. They had decided to fight the insurgency, and any suspected of supporting it, their way, which was brutally. In some ways they were successful. Not that they are better off today because for it. In fact a lot of the lawlessness, high level corruption and impunity in Guatemala today can be traced to that period.

In any event, all this was happening in the context of Central America going down the tubes. Remember, the Sandinistas come into power in ’79 or ’80 in Nicaragua. The insurgents were rapidly gaining strength in El Salvador. The Chichoneros were growing in Honduras. These were not the most happy times to work in the Office of Central American Affairs. And the nights were very long. We were seriously understaffed.

Q: Well now who were the Guatemalans dictator and his crew killing. Were they basically Indians or were they people who had gotten in his way, or were they unidentifiable group that was fighting him?
MACK: In the rural areas anybody who was perceived to give aid and comfort to the guerrilla was a target. I didn’t have much access to what was going on. The Embassy could not travel to the worst areas because of security reasons. I really didn’t know much unless an American or a missionary living there got caught up in it. In urban areas however they were going after anyone perceived to opposed his regime. Those killed were not necessarily communists at all. They may have been labor union leaders or democrats. I am sure there were some communists among them. I had some contact with the people that the dictator was going after when they would come to Washington. This included a Vinicio Cerezo who later became President. But he was certainly no communist at all. He survived a number of assassination attempts and so anybody who was opposed to the dictator seemed to be fair game for Lucas Garcia.

Q: Well now, this during the Carter Administration?

MACK: Yes, and Carter was going full bore on the whole issue of Human Rights. So here we are in 1979 in a situation in which on the one hand the leftist insurgencies in Central America were rapidly gaining ground, and on the other President Carter’s Human Rights policies were coming on strong. The State Department was kind of caught in a bind. On one hand, obviously we didn’t want to see all those governments in Central America be taken over by leftist guerrillas. On the other hand, we wanted to carry out the Human Rights policy. In the case of Guatemala, we did not have a friendly government to support. In fact, they did not want anything to do with us. They were not receiving any military assistance from us.

Q: Were they picking up any support from the Right – the Jesse Helms types and all that?

MACK: I don’t recall that in case of Guatemala. I just don’t recall. I can recall very vividly El Salvador but I cannot recall the case of Guatemala.

Q: But, did you get caught up in the rest of that. The El Salvador and Nicaragua business.

MACK: Well we all worked in the same office. And we were all overworked in the same office. Central America was staffed at a level for the sleepy old Central America days. A total of seven officers covered Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Honduras, El Salvador, Guatemala and Belize. To give you an idea of the work load, after I left, that office grew to nineteen. Our Deputy was Rich Brown, who passed away a couple of years ago. To say Rich was a very hard working guy was an under statement, and he expected the rest of us to emulate him. Just to give you an idea, when someone left before seven p.m., Rich would comment wryly that that person was “taking the afternoon off”. The fact is that most of us habitually left work a lot later than that which put a lot of strain on those of us who were married with kids, which was practically all of us. We really began to worry about people there. They were wearing. A few years later, the deputy office of Central America Affairs died of a heart attack.

Q: Was anything happening in Belize from your perspective?

MACK: Our focus was to bring the Belizeans and Guatemalans together to resolve the boundary dispute to allow Belize to become independent. That really dominated everything. At that point, George Price had been Prime Minister of that self governing colony for many years and he
wanted to be the leader who took Belize to independence. He eventually did, but independence was delayed for a number of years because of the border issue. I cannot recall what year, but it was several years after I left the desk.

Q: Did we have a Consulate General in Belize at that time?

MACK: Yes, we had a small Consulate General and interesting people assigned there. The consulate had been there for one hundred and fifty years. It was located in an old wooden building that had been shipped down piece by piece from New England and erected in Georgetown. It was made of pine, a pretty old building. I think it had been painted so many times over the years that by 1980 I think the paint was thicker than what the termites had left of the wood. The standard joke was that the building was being held up by one hundred coats of paint. It definitely was not a secure building and it was a firetrap. I don’t know if they are still in it today.

Q: They had a bad hurricane but I guess that they survived the hurricane?

MACK: They had a real bad hurricane was 1961 as I recall. It was really bad.

Q: Who was the US Ambassador in Guatemala during your time on the desk?

MACK: Frank Ortiz, he just passed away. He was in Guatemala at the time that I was there. He had a very difficult job given our terrible relations with the government, the human rights violations, the insurgency etc.

Q: That must have been a difficult place for the officers there and the staff.

MACK: The security was awful. And there was a lot of killing going on. The leftists were active too and they were carrying out assassinations. It was a very, very nasty situation.

Q: You were doing this from what ’79 to ’81?

MACK: Yes!

Q: Did you feel the cold hand of the Reagan takeover because it really hit Central America, I mean ARA. Or were you too far down?

MACK: No. I mean there was certainly major change when Reagan came in but remember the Republicans did not control the Congress. So the Carter Human Rights legislation stayed in place. We still had to abide by the law. But the Carter Political Appointees who had wielded tremendous influence, who staffed the powerful Bureau of Human Rights, which had grown to wield an enormous amount of power and practically had veto power of any policy initiative proposed by the careerists working on Central America, were gone.

Q: Had we pretty well written Guatemala off?
MACK: We just couldn’t do very much with Guatemala because of the human rights problems. It was a very difficult place to work. The country was in the midst of a very serious insurgency and a large part of the country was closed for casual travel; let’s put it that way. The government was organizing the rural indigenous population in the highlands into local militias to defend their villages against the insurgents. This turned out to be a rather effective program. But these groups also carried out their own vendettas.
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Q: Well, now after your adventures with the Marcoses, you came back to Washington in mid 1986?

RICH: When I came back to Washington I began immediately preparing to go to Belize as ambassador. This was an appointment that was already a year and a half overdue. I had left the Philippines en route to Belize, but the nomination had been held up by problems not related to me but problems in the Senate. Now this nomination was revived. In fact, one morning I thought I was going to Fiji as ambassador, and in the afternoon I was going to Belize again. In any case, the Belize appointment was now on track, and when I was finally able to disengage from Filipino issues I began preparations for that appointment.

Q: And when did you actually arrive in Belize?

RICH: In the summer of 1987. Belize had been independent for some years at that time.

Q: I often wondered, Bob, Belize must be torn between various areas-pulls on it from North America, the Caribbean, the British and from Central America. Do any of these have any overweening influence?

RICH: Essentially it is a Caribbean society with British-style institutions. It is in many respects much more similar to Trinidad and Jamaica than to its Central American neighbors. However, there is a split personality because a significant and growing portion of its population is of Central American origin. The dominant society, which is a combination of English Creole and Caribbean extraction, known there as Garifuna, dominates both government and the economy and is of British cultural descent. I say cultural descent because racially it is primarily black.
However, there is an indigenous Mayan population, mostly poor, mostly rural. And in the north there is a significant population of Mexican origin that moved down into Belize earlier in the 20th century when there was a lot of violence and mayhem in the Yucatan. They have become assimilated and are mostly bilingual in English and Spanish.

Q: I was going to say you would see several languages represented there?

RICH: English is dominant. Spanish is also spoken in the north, but these people are two generations assimilated into Belizian society and speak English as well as you or I. However, there is a more recent wave of immigration which promises to change Belizian society significantly. That is the immigration of the last couple of decades primarily from El Salvador. El Salvador is not contiguous, but given the poverty and unrest there in the last couple of decades there has been movement to the United States and also to Belize. It is one of these situations where people go and tell there friends that there is land over here, people are not shooting each other, come join us. That population is not assimilated, is mostly poor and rural, and is producing a significant Spanish speaking minority in certain areas. This concerns the Belizians because they see it as their culture being threatened by people who have a different attitude towards law and order, how you settle disputes (not in the courts but maybe with a machete or a gun), etc.

The United States is very important to Belize. Most of the trade and investment are with the United States, as is travel, tourism and shopping. In order of importance to Belize, I would list the United States, Mexico, Britain, the Caribbean Community, and adjacent Central American states. Almost everyone else is off of their radar scopes.

Q: How does Belize handle its defense problems?

RICH: Belize has a defense treaty with the United Kingdom. It took its independence very late, the latest of all the Caribbean possessions of the Crown, simply because of an unresolved claim by Guatemala to the entire territory of Belize. Belize hesitated to go independent because they felt that if they cut the tie to Britain, Guatemala might march in. When they did finally take independence in 1981, they extracted a major defense commitment from the British, and it is one of two places in this hemisphere where the British have resident forces, the other being, of course, the Falklands. Until 1993, the British maintained Harrier jets there and some heavy armor as well as a battalion of ground troops. The battalion is rotated and Belize is used by the British as a jungle training area. They only have one other such training area, and that is in Brunei. The argument within the British military of course is a cogent one today, “Why do you need jungle training facilities; the next war is not going to be fought in the jungle? There aren’t that many jungles left.” For example, the Bataan Peninsula in the Philippines, where our men fought the Japanese so valiantly in the jungles, has no jungle left except in the confines of the former U.S. Subic Naval Base perimeter, now a national park.

The United States was not interested in the British pulling out of Belize. Everyone concerned, and oddly enough even the Guatemalans, seemed to feel that the British presence was a stabilizing force. It certainly gave assurance to the Belizians that they could maintain their democracy behind this shield. Therefore, there was no move anywhere in the hemisphere or within Belize, itself, to remove British forces. The only pressure in that direction was from the
budget types back in Whitehall who periodically said, “This is too expensive, we need to do something else.”

We did have a military assistance office in Belize as well as a Defense Attaché, and a very modest program primarily to maintain liaison and assistance on a training basis. It enabled CINCSOUTH in Panama to have a relationship with all the military in the region. The Belizian armed forces were very small, and so our assistance to them was of a very modest nature both in matériel and training.

For a small embassy we did have a rather remarkable set of commitments. There were seven different agencies of the U.S. Government under my aegis in Belize. We even negotiated a military medical research agreement during the time I was there. We had an economic aid program. We had a small military assistance program. We had a rather large Voice of America contingent operating a VOA relay station in southern Belize which was targeted at the trouble spots of Central America. This broadcast primarily in Spanish to Nicaragua, El Salvador and Guatemala. The cogency of that investment is now probably less than it was just a few years ago. We also had a Defense Attaché and an important anti-narcotics program.

When we were flying down on the plane for my arrival in Belize after all my preparation and briefing, I said to my wife, “This is going to be different. All my career, one way or another, I have been involved in issues of considerable national interest and concern to the United States to which considerable attention was paid on the Seventh Floor (Secretary of State, etc.). Given the other priorities in Washington, nobody at a very high level is going to pay much attention to Belize. I don’t know if we are going to be bored or not.” My wife had brought some needlepoint and other activity materials, and I had brought some books. Well, we were certainly not bored. I found that there was considerable challenge and a great deal of worthwhile things to do professionally, as well as a dynamic small society in which we made life long friends.

But, to get to the problems of the United States. Institutionally, from a Foreign Service standpoint, what had happened there happens all too often for reasons that do not support our diplomacy- a large gap between assignment of ambassadors. In my case, I noted earlier how that had occurred, but what it meant in this case was that there was a two-year gap between my predecessor’s departure (he had been the first American Ambassador) and my arrival. Given the size of the post, of course the deputy was not very senior. The deputy, unfortunately, in addition to not being very senior, had not been a man very ready to take responsibility, and he let things go from week to week on the assumption that pretty soon an ambassador would arrive and he could put things off. Well, things can be put off only so long without considerable decay. I found the embassy in very poor morale with no sense of direction, and agencies that were totally going off in opposite directions to each other. The strongest figure in the country team was an AID director who decided that he really owned the country.

This had to be pulled together. So from an internal management view, the immediate challenge was to reestablish a sense of direction, pull the team together, get a management operation going and define and pursue the major interests of the United States. So I would be a strong proponent of saying, “Don’t leave posts uncovered so long.” It is less serious in our major embassies where
the deputies are always senior, able, experienced people. But, in any case that was probably my first challenge and I was satisfied in the long run. It worked out very well.

Two other problems emerged there which are not at all unknown in our business. I think they are among the most difficult kinds of problems an ambassador has to deal with, particularly an ambassador such as myself who didn’t have an awful lot of clout back in Washington from a place like Belize considering the crises on which Washington was focused. One was corruption within the U.S. mission, itself, and the other was the discovery of misuse of U.S. aid to abet narcotics trafficking.

One of the biggest interests of the United States that I dealt with during my tenure was the fight against narcotics. We had a major marijuana eradication program going on in Belize, and this merged during my tenure with an effort to address the more serious problem caused by the inroads of the cocaine Mafia from Colombia and trafficking of cocaine up through Central America as the sea routes had become more difficult. A small country like Belize simply had no physical means to prevent this.

Q: Was the Belize government willing to cooperate?

RICH: Yes. We had excellent cooperation from the government, but it had no real means to either control its air space or sea boundaries. So we invested quite a bit in assisting them in intelligence and in means to better monitor and interdict trafficking. This process expanded throughout my time there.

But a problem arose with the egotist who had been running the AID program and who had been running fast and free over all sorts of regulations and laws in the process. I found that already before I got there that he had had been subject to one AID investigation. By the time I had been in Belize only a few weeks, many people had come to me with problems about the AID mission. I had a two page list of horrendous allegations, mostly involving the AID Director himself. Well, it simply wasn’t possible for me as Ambassador to investigate all of these directly. Therefore, I contacted the AID Inspector General (IG) and told him, “Look, there is an awful lot of smoke, and an awful lot of problems. The AID mission itself is split right down the middle between people who are the favorites of the AID director for whom all sorts of laws and regulations seem to be bent, and others who for one reason or another appear to be on the outs. In any case, there are so many allegations it is not possible to sort this out given the deep antagonisms within the mission, itself. I need you to send somebody down here to check it out.”

That was done and it actually led to a series of IG investigations of the AID mission. I was told eventually that they were preparing a federal indictment against the AID director. I had not thought things would be that serious, but I said, “If this is going to be the case, this man is a major figure in Belize on behalf of the U.S. Government, and I would like him transferred well in advance of an indictment so that the harmful publicity here can be subdued.” In that respect I was not successful. They weren’t willing to transfer him until just days before the indictment came down. So we did have to deal with all of that in a very public way.
When a new AID director was named, I asked Washington if we could do a complete zero-based assessment of aid to Belize, because the aid program was fragmented in all sorts of little pockets and we were spending a lot of money and not getting much visible for it. With the help of the new AID Director and a positive response to my zero-based assessment request, we set the AID program there on a much sounder track, and I felt that was an important accomplishment. Things went very well, and morale was restored in the AID mission too. Unfortunately for the previous director, who had many fine qualities and was a very experienced man who should have known better than to do the things he did, they chose one of his violations which to prosecute. He was convicted in federal court, stripped of his rank, fined and spent six months in prison. He was due to retire, and fortunately in those circumstances he did not lose his retirement. You don’t lose that unless you are guilty of treason.

Well, that was the first problem. The other problem was with the Belizian government. I had forged very excellent working relationships with the government, I believe. Then an election came along two-thirds of the way through my tenure, and the government changed. This is a problem we often have, of course. I had maintained good relations with the leader of the opposition and former prime minister, George Price, who was sort of the father of the country, and his party now was restored to power. But, while I had maintained good personal relations with Price, after all I was this American who had been dealing and working on programs with the previous government which now became the opposition. So, when Price came back into power, he brought with him a certain degree of suspicion of the American Ambassador, which had to be slowly overcome. Fortunately, because I had maintained frequent dialogue with him and tried to give him every sense of dignity as the leader of the opposition, we were not dealing with each other as strangers. However, in this British style parliamentary system the new government had come into power by the thinnest of margins. It had upset the previous government by less than 2 percent of the vote.

The north central part of the country was the area in which we had the most severe problems with drug traffic. There was a town up there, Orange Walk, that was frequently spoken of as the “wild west.” Even our DEA people wouldn’t stay there after nightfall. That area had elected a man to the parliament whom we knew was a brother and crony of a known drug trafficking kingpin who was in jail in the United States. The government only had a one seat majority in parliament, although later it acquired two by paying off one member to switch sides, so every seat was vital.

It wasn’t but a month or two after the government changed that we began to get very good evidence that this member of parliament from Orange Walk, who had been named Minister of Works in the new government, was improperly utilizing AID road building equipment for illegal purposes. Our biggest infrastructure effort was a roads program which came under the Ministry of Works. There were serious problems also with the implementation of the program. Guidelines were not being properly observed. So, as we gathered evidence, I consulted with the AID director who said, “I have enough basis on which to put a hold on the program for performance reasons. We have a bunch of new equipment coming in, and we will just keep it on hold on our property ostensibly for entirely non-political purposes.” Well, that was fine because that gave us a kind of cover excuse to put a hold on the program and at the same time gave a public reason for
the AID director to negotiate with the Ministry of Works while we tried to address the more serious issue.

The bigger problem as I saw it was that here we had a major AID program under which some of our equipment was not only being diverted for inappropriate and illegal purposes, but for purposes directly opposed to a major public policy concern of the United States. The Minister was using some of the road equipment also to grade air strips in the jungle for transhipment of narcotics. This, as far as I was concerned, was absolutely something that the U.S. Government and people could not tolerate. Eventually it would become known, and that could blow up the entire AID program to Belize. So after we collected sufficient evidence, I engaged in quite a dialogue with Washington. Essentially what I sought was permission to go to the Prime Minister to lay the evidence on the table and ask him to deal with it quietly. I said, “My bottom line is that there cannot be anyone administering USAID funds or equipment who is involved in narcotics traffic.”

After some hemming and hawing I got an okay from Washington to go ahead, although given the Inter-American Affairs Bureau’s preoccupation with counter insurgencies and communism I never felt I had really gotten the attention of anyone very senior. So I went to see the Prime Minister. His first reaction was, “You are trying to bring down my government. This is all a plot to overthrow me.” So that was a long conversation. We finally got off of that kick, but he was very suspicious. He was a man who honestly could not believe ill of someone whom he had known all his life in Belize’s small town atmosphere. He didn’t want to believe ill of him. He was very dubious. He went out to near one air strip we had described the location of to him and said, “I didn’t see any air strip.” I then authorized my Defense Attaché to rent a private plane from the municipal airport and take some photographs from the air, totally openly, nothing clandestine about this. We hired a bush pilot. I didn’t even want to use our spraying planes. It was quite clear that what we photographed was nothing but a clandestine and unauthorized air strip; it wasn’t just an improved road. We also had witnesses to how it was done. Upon being shown these photographs, Prime Minister Price was clearly very disturbed. He said he would undertake his own investigation, which is what I asked of him. It took several weeks and in the meantime we had the AID program on hold. Publicly it was on hold over management discrepancies. Eventually the Prime Minister came back to me and said, “I have reluctantly confirmed your allegations.”

Q: He admitted it?

RICH: Yes. It was very hard for him to do. I had set a deadline of about two months during which things were on hold, after which we would shut down the AID program if the problem were not resolved. Meanwhile, we were not spending any more money or supplying any more equipment for the roads program. I said, “Mr. Prime Minister, I really have to have a resolution. It is up to you how you do it. You have done part of what I have asked in carrying out the investigation. The other thing I ask is that you remove this individual from any position where he will deal with our funds. Thirdly, I would hope that you could gather sufficient evidence and go to court and prosecute.” He never did do the third, but he found a way in a few weeks to remove this man from that ministry, giving him another job as a face saver, which had nothing to do with our programs or funds.
In the meantime AID had been working diligently with the ministry of works staff to resolve problems and we were able to announce that the problems had been sufficiently resolved and the program could go forward. None of the other problem ever became public. I was very pleased that it did not, and that we were able to resolve it satisfactorily. It is the kind of thing that I know our people have to deal with from time to time when you are faced with suspicions by a foreign government that you are manipulating their very existence, and yet you have to stick to the basics of right and wrong in the interest of the United States and hopefully deal with the problem in a way that does not destroy the bilateral relationship in the process. That was an interesting episode.

Q: I can certainly believe it. How long did you spend in Belize all together?

RICH: It was a three year tenure. I was able to turnover the post to another fine career ambassador who remained four years because the Clinton administration was slow to name a successor. He in turn was succeeded by a political appointee who also did a very fine job indeed, although I regretted to see yet one more post lost to the career Foreign Service.

End of reader